



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD All participants (applicant; applicant; applicant approach; applicant to provide a sustingent; applicant to provide applicant to	SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIR	ST NAMED APPLICANT	, D.C. 20231	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO
EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD DATE MAILED:					l	
EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD DATE MAILED:						
EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD DATE MAILED:				٦	E	EXAMINER
EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): GERALD LINDEN (3) JEFFREY T. KNAPP If GOR Y. KHANDROS (4) ate of interview Telephonic Repronal (copy is given to applicant Repplicant's representative), whibit shown or demonstration conducted: Research to the substance of the substance of this formation of prior art discussed: Representative OF THE INVENTION WERE SHOWN; RESILIENT SPRING QUALITY POSSING CERTAIN ENROUTHENTS WAS SARWAN. greement was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. was not reached. laims discussed: ALL IN GENERAL sentification of prior art discussed: KORQYASHIL of al. CHRISTY LAD. Service of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: BEYOND DEMONS SERVING RESILIENT QUALITIES OF THE INVENTION WERE DISCUENTIAL OF THE COATING TO KORAYASHILF SPRING RESILIENT QUALITIES OF THE INVENTION WERE DISCUENTIAL OR DISCUSSED FIRT THE COATING TO KORAYASHILF SPRING RESILIENT QUALITIES OF THE INVENTION WERE DISCUENTIAL OR DISCUSSED FIRT THE COATING TO KORAYASHILF SPRING RESILIENT CLOSED FIRT THE COATING TO KORAYASHILF SPRING RESILIENT CORD FIRT THE COATING TO KORAYASHILF SPRING RESILIENT CORD FIRT THE COATING TO KORAYASHILF SPRING RESILIENT CORD FIRT THE COATING TO THE LAST OFFICE ACOTIVATED AND MOST A METAL ORGANIC COMMES tached, Also, where no copy of the amendments which would mender the claims allowable is available, a summary threef must be strached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would mender the claims allowable tached also, where no copy of the amendments which would mender the claims allowable tached also, where no copy of the amendments which would mender the claims allowable tached also, where no copy of the amendments which would mender the claims allowable tached also, where no copy of the amendment which would mender the claims allowable tached also, where no copy of the amendment which wou				'		
EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): GERALD LINDEN (3) JEFFREY T. KNAPP If GOR Y. KHANDROS (4) ate of interview Telephonic Repronal (copy is given to applicant Repplicant's representative), whibit shown or demonstration conducted: Research to the substance of the substance of this formation of prior art discussed: Representative OF THE INVENTION WERE SHOWN; RESILIENT SPRING QUALITY POSSING CERTAIN ENROUTHENTS WAS SARWAN. greement was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. was not reached. laims discussed: ALL IN GENERAL sentification of prior art discussed: KORQYASHIL of al. CHRISTY LAD. Service of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: BEYOND DEMONS SERVING RESILIENT QUALITIES OF THE INVENTION WERE DISCUENTIAL OF THE COATING TO KORAYASHILF SPRING RESILIENT QUALITIES OF THE INVENTION WERE DISCUENTIAL OR DISCUSSED FIRT THE COATING TO KORAYASHILF SPRING RESILIENT QUALITIES OF THE INVENTION WERE DISCUENTIAL OR DISCUSSED FIRT THE COATING TO KORAYASHILF SPRING RESILIENT CLOSED FIRT THE COATING TO KORAYASHILF SPRING RESILIENT CORD FIRT THE COATING TO KORAYASHILF SPRING RESILIENT CORD FIRT THE COATING TO KORAYASHILF SPRING RESILIENT CORD FIRT THE COATING TO THE LAST OFFICE ACOTIVATED AND MOST A METAL ORGANIC COMMES tached, Also, where no copy of the amendments which would mender the claims allowable is available, a summary threef must be strached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would mender the claims allowable tached also, where no copy of the amendments which would mender the claims allowable tached also, where no copy of the amendments which would mender the claims allowable tached also, where no copy of the amendments which would mender the claims allowable tached also, where no copy of the amendment which would mender the claims allowable tached also, where no copy of the amendment which wou			•		ADT HAIT	PARED WINGS
EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD Il participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnal): GERALD LINDEN					ARTONII	FAFER NUMBER
EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD Il participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnal): GERALD LINDEN						
Il participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (a) JERALD LINDEN (b) IGOR Y. KHANDROS (d) ate of interview 7 FEB 1995 (d) Applicant's representative). (e) Telaphonic Representation conducted: Rives No. If yes, brief description: VARIOUS EMBODIMENTS SOURCE OF SOURCE OF OF THE INVENTION WERE SHOWN, RESILIENT SPRING QUALITY PARTICIPATION WERE SHOWN, RESILIENT SPRING QUALITY PARTICIPATION WERE SHOWN, RESILIENT SPRING QUALITY PARTICIPATION WERE DESCRIPTION of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: BEYOND DEMONS THE SPRING RESILIENT QUALITIES OF THE INVENTION WERE DISCUENCE OF THE TOWN OF A METAL ORGANIC CONDECTION OF A METAL ORGANIC OF THE METAL ORGANIC O		EV	AMINED INTEDV			
TEARLY LINDEN (3) JEFFREY T. KNAPP (4) TEARLY LINDEN (5) IF GOR Y. KHANDROS (4) THE PRINCE PROBLEM Service of the properties of interview Problem Service of the properties of interview Problem Service of the properties of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: DEVIND DEMONS THE SPRING ACSILIENT QUALITIES OF THE TOVENTION WERE DISC THE SPRING ACSILIENT QUALITIES OF THE TOVENTION WERE DISC THE SPRING ACSILIENT QUALITIES OF THE TOVENTION WERE DISC A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable interview. THE SPRING ACSILIENT QUALITIES OF THE TOVENTION WERE DISC A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. In where no copy of the amendments which would render the Claims allowable is available, as unmary thereof must be attached. In the paragraphs below have been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACO TWALD STRIPLING THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to fine the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a compolete response to each of the objections, rejections.				IEW SUMMAKI KECUI	KD	
ate of interview 7 FEB 1995 The Telephonic Resonal (copy is given to applicant Repplicant's representative). Thibit shown or demonstration conducted: Res No. If yes, brief description: VARIOUS EMBODIMENTS SWALE OF SWALE OF THE INVENTION WERE SHOWN; RESTLIEMT SPAING QUALITY OF CERTPLY EMBODIMENTS WAS SHOWN. CERTPLY EMBODIMENTS WAS SHOWN. Greenent was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. was not reached. It aims discussed: ALL TY GENERAL Sentification of prior art discussed: KORAYASHIT of al., CHRISTY WAD. Sescription of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: BEY OND DEMONS THE SPRING RESILIENT QUALITIES OF THE TOVENTION WERE DISCUMENT OF A METAL ORGANIC COMOS. A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable is available, as mammary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, as mammary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the laims allowable is available, as mammary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the Contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACOT WALVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1–7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the paragraphs below have been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACOT WALVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1–7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the paragraphs below have been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACOT WALVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1–7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to reach of the objections, rejections, rejections, rejections, rejections, rejections, rejections, response to eac		t, applicant's representativ	e, PTO personnel):	-	1/	_
ype: Telephonic XPersonal (copy is given to applicant Xapplicant's representative). xhibit shown or demonstration conducted: XYes No. If yes, brief description: VARIOUS EMBODIMENTS SWRLE OF SWRLE OF THE INVENTION WERE SHOWN, RESTITIENT / SPRING QUALITY OF POSS, GREEN SANGOUMENTS Was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. was not reached. dentification of prior art discussed: KOBAYASHIL of al. CHRISTY Ufal. dentification of prior art discussed: KOBAYASHIL of al. CHRISTY Ufal. description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: BEYOND DEMONS TOON, KOBAYASHILO AND THE CURRENT INVENTION WERE DISCUENCE SPRING RESULTENT QUALITIES OF THE INVENTION WERE DISCUENCE ALSO, IT WAS DISCUSSED FIAT THE COATING TO KOBAYASHILO A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Inless the paragraphs below have been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTER RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE AND TOWN AND AND MOST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTENSIVE (e.g., terms 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.	1) (JERALD	LINDEN		(3) JEFFREY	1. KNAPI	ρ
ype: Telephonic XPersonal (copy is given to applicant Xapplicant's representative). xhibit shown or demonstration conducted: XYes No. If yes, brief description: VARIOUS EMBODIMENTS SWRLE OF SWRLE OF THE INVENTION WERE SHOWN, RESTITIENT / SPRING QUALITY OF POSS, GREEN SANGOUMENTS Was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. was not reached. dentification of prior art discussed: KOBAYASHIL of al. CHRISTY Ufal. dentification of prior art discussed: KOBAYASHIL of al. CHRISTY Ufal. description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: BEYOND DEMONS TOON, KOBAYASHILO AND THE CURRENT INVENTION WERE DISCUENCE SPRING RESULTENT QUALITIES OF THE INVENTION WERE DISCUENCE ALSO, IT WAS DISCUSSED FIAT THE COATING TO KOBAYASHILO A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Inless the paragraphs below have been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTER RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE AND TOWN AND AND MOST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTENSIVE (e.g., terms 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.	I IGOR Y	KHANDROS		(4)		
THE INVENTION WERE SHOWN, RELILIENT SPRING QUALITY OF CERTAIN EMBODIMENTS (SWILL OF THE INVENTION WERE SHOWN), RELILIENT SPRING QUALITY OF CERTAIN EMBODIMENTS (SWILL OF THE INVENTION WERE SHOWN), RELILIENT SPRING QUALITY OF CERTAIN EMBODIMENTS (WAS SHOWN). THE INVENTION WERE SHOWN, RELILIENT SPRING QUALITY OF CERTAIN EMBODIMENTS (WAS SHOWN). THE INVENTION WERE SHOWN, RELILIENT SPRING QUALITY OF CERTAIN EMBODIMENTS (WAS SHOWN). THE GENERAL Laims discussed: ALL IN GENERAL Lentification of prior art discussed: KOBAYASHI J. A. J. CHRISTY L. LENTIFICATION WERE DESCRIPTION OF THE THE COATENG IN KOBAYASHI J. ALSO, IT WAS DISCUSSED FIRT THE COATENG IN KOBAYASHI J. A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable tached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) Inless the paragraphs below have been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACO TWINDED AND MUST TINCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1 To not reverse aide of this form), if a response to General the substance of the interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.	7	- 100		(7/		
A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) In it is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.	ate of interview	FEB 1775				
A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable is savailable, a summary thereof must be attached.) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.	ype: 🔲 Telephonic	Personal (copy is given	n to □ applicant □	applicant's representative)		
lains discussed: ALL IJ GENERAL dentification of prior art discussed: KOBAYASHI . of al.; CHRISTY Ital. description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: BEY CNO DEMONS THOON, KOBAYASHI ITAL. AND THE CURRENT INVENTION WERE DISCUME SPRING RESILIENT QUALITIES OF THE INVENTION WERE DISCUME SPRING RESILIENT QUALITIES OF THE TOURNITION WERE DISCUMENT ORGANOMETALIC CPD. AND NOT A METAL ORGANIC CONDOCATION OF A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable tached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. In the substance of the interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections.	•	•		•		
lains discussed: ALL IJ GENERAL dentification of prior art discussed: KOBAYASHI . of al.; CHRISTY Ital. description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: BEY CNO DEMONS THOON, KOBAYASHI ITAL. AND THE CURRENT INVENTION WERE DISCUME SPRING RESILIENT QUALITIES OF THE INVENTION WERE DISCUME SPRING RESILIENT QUALITIES OF THE TOURNITION WERE DISCUMENT ORGANOMETALIC CPD. AND NOT A METAL ORGANIC CONDOCATION OF A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable tached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. In the substance of the interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections.	xhibit shown or demon	stration conducted: 🗷 Y	es 🗆 No. If yes, b	rief description: VAKI	UUS EMBO	ODIMENTS
laims discussed: ALL IN GENERAL dentification of prior art discussed: KOBAYASHI . of al.; CHRISTY Ital. description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: BEYOND DEMONS TIDON, KOBAYASHI ITAL. AND THE CURRENT INVENTION WERE DISCU THE SPRING RESILIENT QUALITIES OF THE INVENTION WERE DISC ALSO, IT WAS DISCUSSED FIAT THE COATING IN KOBAYASHI . of A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable tached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Inless the paragraphs below have been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, reject	OF THE I	UVENTION WE	ERE SHOW	VIREGILIENT	SPRING Q	LUALITY OF
dentification of prior art discussed: KOBAYASHI . of al.; CHRISTY Idal. Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: BEYOND DEMONS THEON, KOBAYASHI OF AL. AND THE CURRENT INVENTION WERE DISCU THE SPRING RESILIENT QUALITIES OF THE INVENTION WERE DISC ALSO, IT WAS DISCUSSED THAT THE COATTING TO KOBAYASHI OF A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable trached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Inless the paragraphs below have been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to office action has already been filled, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections.	CERTAIN EN	1800IMENTS U	JAS SHOWN.	JK		
dentification of prior art discussed: KOBAYASHIL of al. CHRISTY Ital. Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: BEYOND DEMONS THOW, KOBAYASHILL AND THE CURRENT INVENTION WERE DISCUMENTED AND THE SPRING RESILIENT QUALITIES OF THE INVENTION WERE DISCUMENTED AND DISCUSSED THAT THE COATTING IN KOBAYASHILL OF THE SPRING ORGANIC COMOS A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) Inless the paragraphs below have been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1—7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to Office action has already been filled, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections.	greement was reac	hed with respect to some o	r all of the claims in qu	estion.	ed.	
dentification of prior art discussed: KOBAYASHIL of al. CHRISTY Ital. DESCRIPTION OF the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: BEYOND DEMONS THOW, KOBAYASHILL AND THE CURRENT INVENTION WERE DISCUINE SPRING RESILIENT QUALITIES OF THE INVENTION WERE DISCUINE SPRING RESILIENT QUALITIES OF THE TOVENTION WERE DISCUINED AND NOT A METAL ORGANIC COMOS A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) Inless the paragraphs below have been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACT OF WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1—7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to Office action has already been filled, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections.	laims discussed: ALI	L IN GENERA	. (
Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: BEYOND DEMONS TODON, KOBAYASHILLL. AND THE CURRENT INVENTION WERE DISCUITED OF THE TOVENTION WERE DISCUITED OF THE TOVENTION WERE DISCUITED OF TOVENTION				1 11 10-0-0	. 1-0	
THE SPRING RESILIENT QUALITIES OF THE INVENTION WERE DISCUSSED THAT THE COATING TO KOBAYASHI OF THE COATING TO KOB	dentification of prior art	discussed: NOBAL	ASHT OF	W. J. CHRISTS	May.	•
THE SPRING RESILIENT QUALITIES OF THE INVENTION WERE DISCOMENS. THE SPRING RESILIENT QUALITIES OF THE TOURNAMENT OF THE COATTING TO KOBAYASHI OF THE COATTING TO				·		
THE SPRING RESILIENT QUALITIES OF THE INVENTION WERE DISCOMENS. THE SPRING RESILIENT QUALITIES OF THE TOURNAMENT OF THE COATTING TO KOBAYASHI OF THE COATTING TO					REVIC	NAID DOMNAISTE
THE SPRING RESILIENT QUALITIES OF THE INVENTION WERE DISC ALSO, IT WAS DISCUSSED THAT THE COATTING IN KOBAYASHY OF A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable trached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) Inless the paragraphs below have been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACT OFTICE AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1—7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to each of the substance of the interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, reject	escription of the genera	I nature of what was agreed	d to if an agreement wa	is reached, or any other com	ments: UC Y C	soo Demonstri
ALSO, IT WAS DISCUSSED THAT THE COATTING TO KOBAYASHIR OF THE COATTING TO COMPOSE TO THE AND MOT A METAL ORGANIC COMPOSE A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable tached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) Inless the paragraphs below have been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACT OFTICE AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1—7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to each of the substance of the interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.	TOON, KOB.	AYASHIRTAL.	AND THE	CURRENT INVE	ENTION U	JERE DESCUSS
ALSO, IT WAS DISCUSSED THAT THE COATTING TO KOBAYASHIR OF THE COATTING TO COMPOSE TO THE AND MOT A METAL ORGANIC COMPOSE A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable tached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) Inless the paragraphs below have been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACT OFTICE AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1—7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to each of the substance of the interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.	THE SPRING	· BELTITON	CONSTIT	es of The Ta	NENTTON	MERE DICCIE
A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable tached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) Inless the paragraphs below have been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1—7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections.	V	y Nosa Crew	307C+1+		. /	1 0
A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable tached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) nless the paragraphs below have been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1—7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview. □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview. □ Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections.	HISO, IT	WAS DISCUS	SED THAT	THE COATEN	G IN KO	BAYASHI etal
A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable ttached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) Inless the paragraphs below have been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACT OFTICE ACT OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1—7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections.	IS AN O	RGANOMETAL I	LIC CPD.	AND NOT A M	ETAL LOGGE	ANTC. CONVOSITO
trached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) Inless the paragraphs below have been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACT OF WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1—7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, reject					7	
OT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a responsit Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, reject	A fuller description, if tached. Also, where no	necessary, and a copy of t copy of the amendments v	the amendments, if av which would render the	allable, which the examiner claims allowable is available	agreed would rende , a summary thereo	er the claims allowable mus f must be attached.)
☐ Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, reject	IOT WAIVED AND MU	IST INCLUDE THE SUBS	TANCE OF THE INT	ERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 o	n the reverse side of	f this form). If a response to
☐ Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, reject	☐ It is not necessary	for applicant to provide a	separate record of the	substance of the interview.		
including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, reject	Cinca the	uta tukamita - assa	no Cont. Pro-	.1 1		
requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to f response requirements of the last Office action.	requirements that	may be present in the last	t Office action, and sin	cnments) reflects a complet ce the claims are now allowa	e response to each oble, this completed	of the objections, rejections form is considered to fulfil